

# Effect of Sources, Levels and Methods of Potash Fertilization on Yield, Nutrient Uptake, Soil Chemical Properties and Quality of *Rabi* Onion in an Inceptisol

D.D. Sawale<sup>\*</sup>, B. D. Tamboli, Vedshree Patil, A.B. Jadhav, A.L. Pharande and S.K. Bansal

Division of Soil Science and Agricultural Chemistry, College of Agriculture, Pune-411005, Maharashtra, India

Abstract: A field experiment on rabi onion (cv. N-2-4-1) was carried out experimental farm of College of Agriculture, Pune during the winter season of 2016-17, to study the effect of different levels and sources of potash (0, 50, 100 and 150 kg  $K_{2}O$  ha<sup>-1</sup>) and methods of its application as a basal (30%  $K_{2}O$  at the time of transplanting, 40 per cent through fertigation after 30 days of transplanting till initiation of bulb formation and remaining 30 per cent through fertigation after 60 days of transplanting till bulb formation at weekly intervals, respectively). In addition to that one additional treatment of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied in the proportion of 90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through MOP (Muriate of Potash) as a basal application + 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through SOP (Sulphate of Potash) as a foliar spray @ 1% after 60 and 75 days after transplanting for comparing the methods of K application. The results revealed that the application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through two foliar sprays of SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting) recorded maximum fresh bulb weight, dry matter yield; higher bulb diameter and uptake of nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, sulphur, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu by rabi onion. There was improvement in available N,P,K, S and Mn content of soil due to application of 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> through SOP applied through soil and fertigation over control. The application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (SOP) through soil and fertigation or  $100 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$  was applied in the proportion of  $90 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O}$  through MOP as a basal application + 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through SOP as a foliar spray @ 1% after 60 and 75 days of transplanting recorded magnitudely lower mean physiological loss in weight.

Keywords: Potash, rabi onion, yield and quality

## Introduction

Onion (*Allium cepa* L.) is one of the most important vegetable grown all over the world. India is second largest producer of onion next to China. At present, Maharashtra is the largest producer in the country with an output of 12-15 lakh tones production from one lakh hectare area.

The potassium nutrition to onion has important role in storage quality. The application of appropriate

quantity and source of nutrients to onion at critical growth stage is essential for better growth and quality (Kale *et al.* 1992). Potash nutrition has great role to play for regulating respiration, improving the quality parameters, prolonging the shelf life, developing the healthy root system *etc.* It is observed that the doses of K fertilizers added to vegetable crops are at much lower rate than its removal resulting in a negative balance in soil. Potash requirement for most of the crop is increasing due to intensive agriculture *vis-à-vis* cultivator's aim at higher yield and quality produce of

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: (Email: ddspune@yahoo.co.in)

crops per unit area and per unit time. The limited use of organic manures and relatively minimum use of fertilizer potassium lead to widespread deficiency of K in cultivated soils.

Moreover, different sources like MOP and SOP may also have bearing on yield and quality of onion as S applied through SOP is thought to have positively affect on onion yield and quality. Scarcity of water has lead to use of micro irrigation methods and also K fertilizer application through fertigation and foliar application is also supposed to enhance the efficiency of both water uses as well as of the K applied. The present experiment aims to evaluate the sources levels and methods of K application productivity and quality *rabi* onion.

## **Material and Methods**

A field experiment was conducted at experimental Farm, College of Agriculture, and Pune (M.S.) in 2016-17 to study the influence of different sources, levels and methods of application of potash on yield and nutrient uptake of *rabi* onion (cv. N-2-4-1). The soil (Vertic Haplustepts) had 56.25% clay with pH 8.17, electrical conductivity (EC) 0.13 dSm<sup>-1</sup>, organic carbon (6.8 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), calcium carbonate (27.50 g kg<sup>-1</sup>), low in available nitrogen (147 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), medium in available phosphorus (19.8 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and very high in available potassium (304 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>). There were 8 treatments replicated thrice in a randomized block design with plot size 15 sq.m.  $(5.0 \times 3.0 \text{ m}^2)$  with row to row spacing 15x10 cm and plant to plant distance 10.0 cm on raised bed. The treatments namely,  $T_1$ -. control (only application of N and  $P_2O_5$  but no application of  $K_2O$ ; for  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_4$  had  $K_2O$  through muriate of potash (MOP) (a) 50, 100 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively; for T<sub>5</sub>, T<sub>6</sub> and T<sub>7</sub>,  $K_2O$  was applied through sulphate of potash (SOP) @ 50, 100 and 150 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> respectively through soil and fertigation (The K<sub>2</sub>O through MOP and SOP applied @ 30% K<sub>2</sub>O at the time of transplanting, 40% K<sub>2</sub>O through fertigation from first leaf fall (after 30 DAT) till initiation of bulb formation at weekly intervals and remaining 30% K<sub>2</sub>O through fertigation at initiation of bulb formation (after 60 DAT) till bulb formation at weekly intervals for  $T_2$  to  $T_4$  and  $T_5$  to  $T_7$  treatments respectively) and  $T_8$  -100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O applied through both MOP as a basal and SOP (90% K<sub>2</sub>O *i.e.* 90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as

a basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10%  $K_2O$  *i.e.* 10 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied in two foliar sprays through SOP at 60 and 75 days DAT @ 1%  $K_2O$  *i.e.* 10 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> through SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting). The recommended dose of 100 kg N (50 % N at transplanting and remaining 50% N after 30 days of transplanting) and entire 50 kg  $P_2O_5$  ha<sup>-1</sup> through DAP along with 20 t ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM and bio-fertilizers was applied in all the treatments as a basal application. All recommended package of practices were adopted while raising the crop.

At harvest, random sample of five plants were selected from each plot and plant height (cm) and neck thickness (cm) at 90 DAT, number of leaves per plant at 60 and 90 DAT were recorded. Agronomic yield was computed on plot basis and then converted into per hectare basis. Plant samples were dried to a constant weight, processed and analyzed. Total N was determined using the micro-kjeldhal digestion method with 1:1 H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>:H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (Parkinson and Allen 1975) followed by ammonia estimation. Total P, K and micronutrient cations were determined following wet digestion of the dried plant material with 4:10 HNO<sub>3</sub>: HClO<sub>4</sub> (Johnson and Ulrich 1959). The data on various parameters recorded during the period of investigation were tabulated and statistically analyzed (Panse and Sukhatme 1967).

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Yield contributing characters

The results revealed that number of leaves at 60 and 90 DAT, plant height at 90 DAT and neck thickness at 90 DAT did not have significant differences for different treatments (Table 1). However, the application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through two foliar sprays of SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting) recorded significantly higher bulb diameter (6.89 cm) owing to diameter may be attributed potassium and sulphate nutrition which might have enhanced the photosynthetic activity and enzymes of carbohydrates transformation. Similar results were also reported by El-Tohamy *et al.* (2011) in carrot crop.

_
H
Ξ
ų
0
.10
η
24
÷
Ō
laracters of re
Ľ
<b>O</b>
G
a
IL
hź
C]
ontributing cha
16
tin
It
p]
÷
tt.
u l
S
l yield co
0
·T
$\geq$
q
ũ
g
5
ls and methods of potash fertilization on yield and
.е
>
,
OI
Ē
T
Ξ.
at
ž
÷
Ē
Ц
e.
Ē
5
a
j;
õ
<u>, </u>
s of
0
S
. D
ы
tł
e
В
_
10
JL
vels and
ē
2
le
es, level
S
S
Ĭ
n
00
f
ct
,õ
Æ
Ē
_
<b>—</b>
65
Ĭ
q
2

I		Yield	Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Yield co	<b>Yield contributing characters</b>	aracters	
	Treatment			No. of	No. of	Plant	Neck	Bulb
		Fresh bulb	Dry matter	leaves at 60 DAT	leaves at 90 DAT	height at 90 DAT (cm)	thickness at 90 DAT (cm)	Diameter (cm)
	Control (Only N and $P_2O_5$ , no $K_2O$ ).	67.23	2.92	9.20	11.67	62.03	1.455	5.53
$\Gamma_2$	50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	72.14	3.26	9.27	13.60	63.67	1.503	6.76
$T_3$	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	77.71	3.943	9.27	13.67	63.67	1.553	6.84
$T_4$	$150 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2 \text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	75.40	4.38	9.73	14.40	63.81	1.773	6.75
$T_5$	50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	72.21	3.32	9.20	13.13	62.47	1.593	6.77
$T_6$	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	77.67	4.34	9.60	13.87	64.03	1.620	6.85
$\mathrm{T}_7$	$150 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	74.97	3.72	9.47	15.13	65.00	1.715	6.82
$T_8$	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP app lied as basal through soil plus SOP applied through foliar sprays)	78.34	4.89	9.33	13.80	65.27	1.693	6.89
	SE <u>+</u>	1.14	0.32	0.39	1.15	1.30	0.068	0.072
	CD at 5 %	3.46	0.97	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.217

Yield

The data (Table 1) showed that potassium application through soil, fertigation and foliar through different sources had a significant effect on bulb yield. The application of 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>8</sub> - 90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through two foliar sprays of SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting) recorded maximum fresh bulb weight  $(78.34 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  and dry matter yield  $(4.89 \text{ t ha}^{-1})$  and closely followed by 100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through MOP and SOP applied through soil and fertigation. However, these treatments were on par with 150 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> application either through MOP or SOP. Similar trend was also noticed for dry matter yield. The higher bulb yield might be attributed to the vigour of plant growth due to potassium via its influence on metabolism of plant enzymes and photosynthesis. Similar results was also reported by Ali et al. (2007). As the K level increases up to optimum level, the yield and its related parameters also increased (Pervez et al. 2004).

# Nutrient uptake Macronutrients

The application of 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (T<sub>6</sub> - 90 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg  $K_2O$  through two foliar sprays of SOP at

60 and 75 days after transplanting) recorded significantly higher nitrogen (180.27 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), phosphorus (103.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>), potassium (259.40 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and sulphur (97.68 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) uptakes. However in case of potassium and sulphur uptake, treatment  $T_6$  was significantly superior over rest of the treatment. The lowest N, P, K and S uptake was recorded in control treatment (Table 2). The increase in N, P, K and sulphur uptakes may be ascribed to the role of potassium photosynthetic activities resulting high translocation of assimilates in from leaves to the bulbs (Behairy *et al.* 2015).

# Micronutrients

The uptake of Fe (3404 g ha<sup>-1</sup>), Mn (873 g ha<sup>-1</sup>), Zn (1084 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) and Cu (386 g ha<sup>-1</sup>) by *rabi* onion was significantly higher due to  $T_8$  treatment (90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through two foliar sprays of SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting). The lower Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu uptake was recorded in control (Table 2). The increased uptake of Fe, Mn, Zn, and Cu may be due to the role of potassium in plant metabolism and many important regulatory processes in the plant. Potassium and sulphur could increase mineral uptake by the plants (Marschner 1995).

	Macronutrient uptake				Micronutrient uptake			
Treatment		(kg	ha <sup>-1</sup> )			(g ]	ha <sup>-1</sup> )	
	Ν	Р	K	S	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
Control (Only N and P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , no K <sub>2</sub> O).	127.72	64.63	155.52	60.09	2397	548	717	211
50 kg $K_2$ O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	140.72	75.87	176.78	70.81	2660	647	823	250
100 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	166.53	89.97	222.91	83.79	3054	749	956	312
150 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	166.41	92.70	234.60	87.39	3228	804	1012	339
50 kg $K_2$ O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	142.41	78.86	183.50	73.86	2713	618	849	272
$100 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	172.07	93.28	235.65	87.64	3179	786	1013	333
150 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	161.98	92.50	227.82	87.25	3029	756	973	333
100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied as basal through soil plus SOP applied through foliar sprays)	180.27	103.40	259.40	97.68	3404	873	1084	386
SE <u>+</u>	5.94	3.59	5.14	2.86	110.0	27.2	38.5	14.5
CD at 5 %	18.03	10.89	15.60	8.68	333.8	82.5	116.7	44.0
	K <sub>2</sub> O). 50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation) 100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation) 150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation) 50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation) 100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation) 150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied as basal through soil plus SOP applied through foliar sprays) SE <u>+</u>	N         Control (Only N and P2O5, no K2O).       127.72         50 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)       140.72         100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)       166.53         150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)       166.41         150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)       162.41         50 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       142.41         100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       172.07         150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       161.98         100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       161.98         100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       161.98         100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       161.98         100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)       180.27         plus SOP applied through soil       180.27         plus SOP applied through soil       5.94	Iteratment         N         P           Control (Only N and P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , no K <sub>2</sub> O).         127.72         64.63           50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)         140.72         75.87           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)         166.53         89.97           150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)         166.41         92.70           50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         142.41         78.86           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         172.07         93.28           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         161.98         92.50           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         161.98         92.50           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         180.27         103.40           plus SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         180.27         103.40	N         P         K           Control (Only N and P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , no K <sub>2</sub> O).         127.72         64.63         155.52           50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)         140.72         75.87         176.78           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)         166.53         89.97         222.91           150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)         166.41         92.70         234.60           50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         142.41         78.86         183.50           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         172.07         93.28         235.65           150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         161.98         92.50         227.82           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)         161.98         92.50         227.82           100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied as basal through soil plus SOP applied through foliar sprays)         180.27         103.40         259.40           SE±         5.94         3.59         5.14	IterationNPKSControl (Only N and P2O5, no K2O).127.7264.63155.5260.0950 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)140.7275.87176.7870.81100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)166.5389.97222.9183.79150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)166.4192.70234.6087.3950 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)142.4178.86183.5073.86100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)172.0793.28235.6587.64150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)161.9892.50227.8287.25100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)161.9892.50227.8287.25100 kg K_2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)180.27103.40259.4097.68pus SOP applied through foliar sprays)SE±5.943.595.142.86	NPKSFeControl (Only N and P2O5, no K2O).127.7264.63155.5260.09239750 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)140.7275.87176.7870.812660100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)166.5389.97222.9183.793054150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)166.4192.70234.6087.39322850 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)142.4178.86183.5073.862713100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)172.0793.28235.6587.643179100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)161.9892.50227.8287.253029100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)161.9892.50227.8287.253029100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)180.27103.40259.4097.683404plus SOP applied through foliar sprays)SE±5.943.595.142.86110.0	NPKSFeMnControl (Only N and P2O5, no K2O).127.7264.63155.5260.09239754850 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)140.7275.87176.7870.812660647100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)166.5389.97222.9183.793054749150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)166.4192.70234.6087.39322880450 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)142.4178.86183.5073.862713618100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)172.0793.28235.6587.643179786150 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)161.9892.50227.8287.253029756100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)161.9892.50259.4097.683404873100 kg K2O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through foliar sprays)SE±5.943.595.142.86110.027.2	NPKSFeMnZnControl (Only N and P2O5, no K2O). $127.72$ $64.63$ $155.52$ $60.09$ $2397$ $548$ $717$ $50 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (MOP appliedthrough soil and fertigation)140.7275.87176.7870.812660647823100 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (MOP appliedthrough soil and fertigation)166.5389.97222.9183.793054749956150 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (MOP appliedthrough soil and fertigation)166.4192.70234.6087.393228804101250 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (SOP appliedthrough soil and fertigation)142.4178.86183.5073.862713618849100 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (SOP appliedthrough soil and fertigation)172.0793.28235.6587.6431797861013150 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (SOP appliedthrough soil and fertigation)161.9892.50227.8287.253029756973100 \ kg \ K_2 O \ ha^{-1} (MOPapplied ab basal through soilplus SOP applied throughfoliar sprays)81.92103.40259.4097.68340487310848E\pm5.943.595.142.86110.027.238.5$

**Table 2.** Effect of sources, levels and methods of potash fertilization on total macronutrient and micronutrient uptake by *rabi* onion at harvest

# Soil chemical properties

The results indicate that soil pH, EC, organic carbon and calcium carbonate content of soil were not significantly influenced due to different levels and sources of potash application at harvest. The soil available N,P,K,S content of soil increased significantly with increase in levels and sources of potassium. The application of 150 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> SOP applied through soil and fertigation had maximum available N, P, K and S (Table 3). The results of present investigation are in conformity with the findings of Kumar *et al.* (2006) and Singh and Pandey (2006). The DTPA - Fe, Zn and Cu were not significantly increased due to different

		Soil chen	Soil chemical properties		Available	Available nutrient (kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(kg ha <sup>-1</sup> )
Treatment					2	٩	×
	Hq	EC (dS m <sup>-1</sup> )	Organic Carbon (%)	CaCO <sub>3</sub> (%)		-	4
$T_1$ Control (Only N and $P_2O_5$ , no $K_2O$ ).	7.46	0.34	0.63	2.33	151	21.1	353
$T_2$ 50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	7.48	0.45	0.73	2.50	158	23.6	396
$T_3 = 100 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2 \text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	7.48	0.40	0.67	1.67	163	25.2	458
$T_4 = 150 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2 \text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	7.45	0.44	0.74	2.17	169	27.6	470
T <sub>5</sub> 50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	7.46	0.37	0.67	1.33	162	23.8	384
$T_6$ 100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	7.47	0.40	0.72	1.75	165	24.7	462
$T_7$ 150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	7.47	0.44	0.75	1.83	170	26.9	476
T <sub>8</sub> 100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied as basal through soil plus SOP applied							
through foliar sprays)	7.52	0.35	0.71	2.33	166	24.8	460
SE <u>+</u>	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.42	1.53	0.52	11.1
CD at 5 %	NS	NS	NS	NS	4.65	1.58	33.6
Initial value	8.1	0.13	0.68	2.75	147	19.8	304

Table 4. Effect of source, land and methods of potash application on available S, Fe, Mn, Zn and Cu at harvest.

	Treatment		Suphur and DTPA - micronutrients (mg kg <sup>-</sup>	PA - micronut	rients (mg kg <sup>-</sup>	( .
		S	Fe	Mn	Zn	Cu
	Control (Only N and $P_2O_5$ , no $K_2O$ ).	9.80	3.88	8.53	3.56	5.58
, 14	50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	10.34	4.04	9.01	3.66	5.88
$\Gamma_3$	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	9.90	4.36	10.23	3.84	5.60
- 4	150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	10.10	4.37	10.22	3.70	5.87
$\Gamma_5$	50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	10.40	4.05	9.80	3.68	5.69
$\Gamma_6$	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	11.50	4.44	10.18	3.70	5.88
~	150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	12.40	4.13	10.28	3.91	5.94
~	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied as basal through soil plus SOP applied		4.39	9.35	3.75	5.74
	through foliar sprays)	10.20				
	SE±	0.30	0.21	0.31	0.12	0.18
	CD at 5 %	0.92	NS	0.93	NS	NS
	Initial value	9.5	4.6	9.8	4.2	5.9

treatments (Table 4). The application of 150 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> through SOP applied through soil and fertigation recorded significantly higher in DTPA - Mn over control.

# Bulb quality

The bulb quality in terms of physiological loss in weight of *rabi* onion was increased significantly with increase in storage period up to four months (Table 5). The treatment  $T_6$  (100 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup>

SOP applied through soil and fertigation) recorded lower mean physiological loss in weight (8.9%) which was closely followed by  $T_8$  (90 kg K<sub>2</sub>O ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg K<sub>2</sub>O through two foliar sprays of SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting). However, the highest physiological loss in mean weight (15.4%) was recorded in control treatment. The role of potassium in augmenting yield and improving the quality of onion bulb in term of physiological loss in weight is also reported by Kale *et al.* (1992).

 Table 5. Effect of sources, levels and methods of potash fertilization on periodical mean physiological loss in weight of bulb

	Treatment	Mont	hly physi	ological	loss in	
			weigh	t (%)		Mean
		Ι	II	III	IV	
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (Only N and P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> , no K <sub>2</sub> O).	6.16	13.53	17.08	24.71	15.4
T <sub>2</sub>	50 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and					
	fertigation)	5.23	12.51	15.61	22.92	14.1
T <sub>3</sub>	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and					
	fertigation)	4.22	8.14	13.27	18.17	11.0
$T_4$	150 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and					
	fertigation)	4.08	11.13	15.07	19.77	12.5
$T_5$	50 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and					
	fertigation)	4.13	8.08	12.26	21.47	11.5
$T_6$	100 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and					
	fertigation)	3.12	6.21	9.64	16.62	8.9
$T_7$	150 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and					
	fertigation)	3.81	8.47	11.69	18.03	10.5
$T_8$	$100 \text{ kg K}_2\text{O ha}^{-1}$ (MOP applied as basal through soil					
	plus SOP applied through foliar sprays)	3.57	6.07	10.51	16.14	9.1
	SE <u>+</u>	0.46	1.57	0.57	0.94	
	CD at 5 %	1.39	4.75	1.75	2.87	

# Economics

The results (Table 6) showed that the application of 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> (90 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg  $K_2O$  through two foliar sprays of SOP at 60 and 75 days after transplanting) recorded the highest net returns of Rs. 1,11,100 ha<sup>-1</sup> over control. The next best treatment was  $T_3$  where 100 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> was applied as MOP through soil and fertigation.

					Econo	mics of po	tash fertili	zer
	Treatment	Fresh bulb Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Dry matter Yield (t ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Cost of fertilizer (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )		Gross monetary returns (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Gross monetary returnes increase over control (Rs ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Returns/Rupees invested on potash fertilizers
T <sub>1</sub>	Control (Only N and $P_2O_5$ , no $K_2O$ ).	67.23	2.92	33842		672300		
T <sub>2</sub>	50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	72.14	3.26	35245	1403	721400	49100	34.99
T <sub>3</sub>	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	77.71	3.943	36648	2806	777100	104800	37.34
T <sub>4</sub>	$150 \text{ kg } \text{K}_2\text{O} \text{ ha}^{-1}$ (MOP applied through soil and fertigation)	75.40	4.38	38050	4208	754000	81700	19.41
T <sub>5</sub>	50 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	72.21	3.32	37842	4000	722100	49800	12.45
T <sub>6</sub>	100 kg $K_2O$ ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	77.67	4.34	41842	8000	776700	104400	13.05
T <sub>7</sub>	150 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (SOP applied through soil and fertigation)	74.97	3.72	45842	12000	749700	77400	6.45
T <sub>8</sub>	100 kg K <sub>2</sub> O ha <sup>-1</sup> (MOP applied as basal through soil plus SOP applied through foliar sprays)	78.34	4.89	37167	3325	783400	111100	33.41

**Table 6.** Effect of sources, levels and methods of potash fertilization on economics of potash fertilizer of *rabi* onion

### Rates:

Urea: 6.4 Rs.kg<sup>-1</sup>, DAP: 25 Rs. kg<sup>-1</sup>, MOP: 16.8 Rs. kg<sup>-1</sup>, SOP: 40 Rs. kg<sup>-1</sup> and FYM: 1.5 Rs. kg<sup>-1</sup> and onion bulb Rs. 10 kg<sup>-1</sup>.

## Conclusion

From the present experimental data, it is concluded that, the application 90 kg  $K_2O$  ha<sup>-1</sup> as basal at the time of transplanting through MOP and 10 kg  $K_2O$  through two foliar sprays of SOP @ 1% at 60 and 75 days after transplanting was found beneficial for

increasing fresh bulb yield, bulb diameter and nutrient uptake.

# Acknowledgement

The study was financially supported by Agriculture & Environment Research Organization, Sector 22, Gurgaon is gratefully acknowledged.

#### References

- Ali, M.K., Alam, M.F., Alam, M.N., Islam, M.S., and Khandaker, S.M.A.T. (2007). Effect of nitrogen and potassium level on yield and quality seed production of onion. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research* 3, 1889-1899.
- Behairy, Awatef, G., Asmaa, R. Mahmoud, Shafeek, M.R., Aisha, H. Ali., and Magda, M. Hafez (2015). Growth, yield and bulb quality of onion plants (*Allium cepa* L.) as affected by foliar and soil application of potassium. *Middle East Journal of Agriculture Research* 6, 60-66.
- El-Tohamy, W.A., E-Abagy, H.M., Badr, M.A., Abou-Hussein, S.D., and Helmy, Y.I. (2011). The influence of foliar application of potassium on yield and quality of carrot (*Daucus carota* L.) plants grown under sandy soil conditions. *Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences* 5, 171-174.
- Johnson, C.M., and Ulrich, A. (1959). Analytical methods for use in plant analysis. *California Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin* **766**, 25-78.
- Kale, P.N., Warade S.D., and Desale, S.B. (1992). Developments of storage structure for onion storage at high temperature. *Allium Improvement Newsletter* 2, 49-52.

- Kumar, S. Sushant, Tiwari, C.P., and Vinay, Singh (2006). Bulb yield and quality of onion as affected by application rates of nitrogen and potassium fertilizers. *Agricultural Science Digest* **26**, 11-14.
- Marschner, H. (1995). Functions of mineral nutrients: micronutrients. **In**: Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 2<sup>nd</sup> Ed., Academic Press, London, pp. 313-404.
- Panse, V.G., and Sukhatme, P.V. (1967). Statistical methods for a agricultural workers. ICAR, New Delhi, 2<sup>nd</sup>ed. pp.99.
- Parkinson, J.A., and Allen, S.E. (1975). A wet oxidation procedure suitable for the determination of nitrogen and mineral nutrients in biological materials. *Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis* 6, 1-11.
- Pervez, H., Ashraf, M., and Makhdum, M.I. (2004). Influence of potassium rates and sources on seed cotton yield and yield components of some elite cotton cultivars. *Journal of Plant Nutrition* 27, 1295-1317.
- Singh, V., and Pandey, M. (2006). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield of and nutrient uptake by onion and on soil fertility. *The Journal* of the Indian Society of Soil Science 54, 365-367.

Received: January, 2018 Accepted: June, 2018